

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,479	09/23/2005	Jun Kanega	1217-052603 1967	
28289 THE WEBB I	7590 08/10/2007 AW FIRM, P.C.		EXAMINER	
700 KOPPERS BUILDING		,	HU, HENRY S	
436 SEVENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11110001011,11110217			1713	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/550,479	KANEGA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Henry S. Hu	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Pre-A</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3 pages.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/550,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

1. USPTO has received **Pre-Amendment** and **two IDS** (a total of 3 pages) filed on September 23, 2005, October 16, 2006 and April 2, 2007 respectively. **Claims 3-5 were amended**; no claim was cancelled, while new Claims 8-16 were added. To be specific, improper multiple dependency on dependent Claims 3-5 are removed. **Claims 1-16** with only **one** independent claim (**Claim 1**) are pending now. An action follows.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. This is based on Applicants' IDS and the preliminary search done by the examiner.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted as following:

I. Claims 1-4 and 8-9, drawn to a process for preparing a fluorine-containing copolymer, which is an emulsion polymerization method conducted in the presence of a pH modifier wherein the pH modifier is aqueous ammonia.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,479

Art Unit: 1713

II. Claims 5-7 and 10-16, drawn to <u>a fluorine containing copolymer</u> obtainable by a process of Group I and having a metal element concentration of not more than 1 ppm.

Page 3

- 3. Where the group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement for unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, although they share the special technical feature, this special technical feature does not define a contribution over the prior art for the following reasons:
- 4. In view of international search report for PCT/JP2004/003330 (WO 2004/085492 A1) and the references or articles cited in two IDS' filed so far by the Applicants, Claims 1-16 is either obvious or anticipated by following: US 6,703,461 B1 to Tanaka et al. and US 6,172,162 B1 to Mouri (both are cited as X reference) as well as US 6,503,988 B1 to Kitahara et al., WO 95/02634 to Legare et al., and JP 9-183812, each individually or in combination. In summary, these methods have no common features in the preparation as well as its application since they are related to a specified method or a specified product. The scope of the claims, i.e., the metes and boundaries are quite distinct. Accordingly, the special technical

Application/Control Number: 10/550,479 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

feature linking the inventions does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore the restriction is appropriate

- 5. Group I is found to be structurally distinct from Group II. To be more specific, fluorinated copolymer having metal content below the level of 1 ppm in Group II may be prepared by different chemistry other than the process of Group I, which is achieved specifically by applying an emulsion copolymerization process conducted in the presence of a pH modifier such as aqueous ammonia. The low-metal-content fluorinated copolymer in Group II may be obtained by some process and/or purification well known in the art.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above shown as different subject matters and the search required for each group is not required for other groups have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to **Kent E. Baldauf** (reg. # 25,826, tel: 412 471-8815) on the date of **May 25**, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Attorney requests a letter. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/550,479 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103**. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The **fax** number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **(571) 273-8300** for all regular communications.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry S. Hu

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1713, USPTO

August 3, 2007

DAVID W. WU
CERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

CERTOLOGY CENTER 1700